

These notes to be included in the minutes in their *entirety, word for word, without any changes.*

Tentative support for the shade structure is contingent on:

Getting DEC approval

*(Considering any capital works over \$30K need DEC approval, deferring such capital allocation while disclosing all available information, leaving time for feedback and garnering support, we believe would have been more prudent, as well as consistent P&Cs actions on other projects requiring DEC approval.)*

As there is good reason for DEC not to insure such structures, from a risk management perspective the following construction considerations also need addressing:

- Are there any impacts on existing services? (impact? / cost / time )
- Has a foundation design be carried out by an engineer? Has this been verified?
- What wind loading has been considered?
- Is there a requirement for guy ropes?
- Please provide load testing data for the stays.

Additionally

- Presentation of completed side UV protection modelling (WIP?)
- Winter month heat and light impact on classrooms

Jerry Argyriou  
Megan Reymond  
Gavin Reymond  
Paul Sweeney

---

While broadly supportive of some form of shading project, we have not been provided with enough information to make an independent assessment.

Being bound by the finance professionals' charter, especially around public money funding decisions, with no costing proposals nor alternatives presented we feel compelled to abstain as due process from a financial perspective has not been followed.

Kerensa Argyriou  
Carol Sweeney